

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
BROWNSVILLE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	§	
VS	§	Criminal No.: B-08-659
<b>Tomas Rodriguez</b>	§	

**REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION  
OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

The Magistrate Court submits this Report and Recommendation to the District Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(3). This case has been referred, by order of the District Court, to the United States Magistrate Judge for the taking of a felony guilty plea and the Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure Rule 11 Allocution. All parties executed a waiver of the right to plead guilty before a United States District Judge as well as a consent to proceed before a United states Magistrate Judge.

On **July 02, 2008**, the defendant and counsel appeared before the Magistrate Judge, who addressed the defendant personally in open court and informed the defendant of the admonishments under Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of criminal Procedure and determined that the defendant was competent to plead guilty and fully understood said admonishments.

The defendant entered a plea of guilty to the offense **of knowing and in reckless disregard did transport and move, and attempt to transport and move, a certain undocumented alien within the United States, from near Lyford, Texas, to near Lyford, Texas, by means of a motor vehicle and on foot, in violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1324(a)(1)(A)(ii) and 1324(a)(1)(A)(v)(II)**as alleged in **Count Two** of the Indictment. The Government summarized the written plea agreement in the presence of the defendant and **his** attorney. The Court explained to the defendant that **he** would be subject to sentencing pursuant to the Sentencing Guidelines promulgated by the United States Sentencing Commission. The Court also explained

that parole had been abolished and that the defendant could receive a term of supervised release. The Court explained the range of punishment with regard to imprisonment, fines, restitution, supervised release, and the statutory fee assessment pursuant to the Victim of Crime Act.

The Magistrate Judge finds the following:

1. The defendant, with the advice of **his** attorney, has consented orally and in writing to enter this guilty plea before the Magistrate Judge, subject to final approval and sentencing by the District Judge;
2. The defendant fully understands the nature of the charges and penalties;
3. The defendant understands **his** constitutional and statutory rights and wishes to waive these rights;
4. The defendant's plea is made freely and voluntarily;
5. The defendant is competent to enter this plea of guilty;
6. There is an adequate factual basis for this plea; and

#### **Recommendation**

The Magistrate Court **Finds** that a plea agreement exists between the defendant and the Government as follows;

In exchange for the defendants plea of guilty to **Count Two** of the Indictment, the government agrees to recommend to the Court that:

- 1) the defendant be given full credit for acceptance of responsibility and the defendant's waiver of **his** right to appeal be accepted;
- 2) the defendant be sentenced at the bottom of the guideline to which **he** scores and the remaining counts be dismissed at the time of sentencing; and
- 3) the defendant's guideline score be reduced by 2 points based on an 'early plea pursuant to the government's 5k motion of the U.S. Sentencing Guidelines;

The Magistrate Court **RECOMMENDS** that the District Court accept the plea of guilty and, after reviewing the pre-sentence investigation report, enter final judgment of guilty against the defendant.

**Warnings**

The parties may file objections to this Report and Recommendation. A party filing objections must specifically identify those findings or recommendations to which objections are being made. The District Court need not consider frivolous, conclusive, or general objections. Battle v. United States Parole Comm'n, 834 F.2d 419 (5th Cir. 1987).

A party's failure to file written objections to the proposed findings and recommendations contained within this Report within ten (10) days after being served with a copy of the Report shall bar that party from de novo review by the District Court of the proposed findings and recommendations and, except upon grounds of plain error, shall bar the party from appellate review of proposed factual findings and legal conclusions accepted by the District Court to which no objections were filed. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C); Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150-153, 106 S.Ct. 466, 472-74 (1985); Douglas v. United States' Automobile Ass'n, 79 F.3d 1415, 1428-29 (5th Cir. en banc 1996).

The Clerk is directed to send a copy of this Report and Recommendation to all parties.

DONE at Brownsville, Texas, this the 2<sup>nd</sup> day of July, 2008.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Felix Recio', is written over a horizontal line.

Felix Recio  
United States Magistrate Judge